

Note: all CCC, community and associated documents are located on the company website:
<http://www.heronresources.com.au/woodlawn-community.php>

Questions from Mr Anthony Gardiner (resident of the Boro community) and Heron's responses:

1. Do you intend to constitute and run the Woodlawn Project CCC as per the current (2016) Guidelines?


Heron Response: Heron does generally follow the DPE guidelines and acknowledges the Committee requires Terms of Reference that would further improve alignment with these guidelines (see action item of minutes)

2. Is it possible to write minutes that are of more use to the broader community? eg. if important questions are asked, what were they and what were the answers, rather than: "There were a number (of) general questions, clarification and discussion on the project (employment, truck movements, first aid training)" – 23/2/17 or "There were a number of general questions, clarification and discussion on the project" – 28/11/16.

Heron Response: This is a reasonable request although it is noted that these points were very general and is publically available information. We will seek, through the committee, to have further detail captured in the minutes. [Andrew, isn't the requirement for an independent minute taker???]

3. Can you provide the community with a high resolution version of the map shown on page 7 or the 2016 AGM presentation?

Heron Response: A plan was provided to the satisfaction of Mr Gardiner via email and copied below.



4. Is it possible to give some very broad outline of development over the coming years, especially in relation to the Boro region?

Heron Response: The highest Company priority is the development of the Woodlawn Project. Exploration priorities are centred on near mine opportunities based on their potential with the current order of priority being; Currawang, Cowley Hills, Montrose and other nearer mine prospects. Boro is an area of interest given historical mining and exploration results however is less advanced than other prospect in the Heron tenement holdings. The only regional work we have conducted is at Kangiara

5. As an observer at all 8 meetings of the Jupiter CCC, what is the Woodlawn CCC's position on observers? I would like to attend the August? meeting.

Heron Response: This point was discussed at the meeting. Observers are welcome to the meetings however the committee will set some protocols to ensure that a) the meeting is conducted in an orderly manner and b) any observer can raise the issue that prompted their attendance. To ensure the meetings are effective the committee has indicated it would like to see the observers table their questions through the local representatives prior to attending.

6. As some required actions in the Conditions of Consent for the Woodlawn Project presuppose a construction start date, what is that date, if construction has commenced?. If not what is the anticipated construction start date?

Heron Response: We are currently in the final stages of securing financing for the project with a view to commencing construction in the coming months.

7. With regard to incorporating the Woodlawn Project CCC within the existing Veolia Woodlawn Bioreactor Community Liaison Committee process, who in: "The Department of Planning and Environment has been consulted and agreement to use the existing consultation framework has been accepted" (Woodlawn Community Engagement Plan, page 6). Will you please publish a copy of that approval or provide me with a copy?

Heron Response: To clarify; Heron's Woodlawn CCC was established prior to the current DPE guidelines for CCC's and is conducted as an entirely separate meeting to the Veolia CLC. Meetings are held on the same day following each other, with the same committee however they are conducted as separate meetings. Verbal consultation with DPE occurred in early 2015 and the CCC meetings commenced in Jan 15. This has occurred quarterly since September 2015 where the committee structure was minuted. Heron has been in contact with DPE in recent days and formal approval of the functionality of the committee sought.

8. In your objection to the Jupiter wind farm, you asked for a two year construction delay of the wind farm. Will you be providing a broad summary after you have completed your investigations?

Heron Response: We will be able to comply with this request [any proposed exploratory activities will be undertaken with the consent of the landholders]

9. What consultation have you had with the residents who will be impacted by the Boro extension, should it proceed? We have not sought out the residents at Boro at this stage.

Heron Response: As previously noted, the exploration priority of the Boro prospect is currently well down the planned list for near term work. Any proposed on-ground activity will involve consultation with the residents well before this takes place.